IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH
AT BILASPUR
W.P. (S) No. 3656 / 2006 SINGLE BENCH

Petitioner : Dr. Smt. Amita Sehgal,
W/o Shri Rajeev Sehgal,
Aged about 45 years,
Asst. Professor (Home Science),
Govt. Girls College, Durg,
R/o S.M.I.G.22, Padmanabhpur,
Durg, **District-Durg (CG)**

P.R. No. 3232/06
Presented by Shri Manish Sharma
dated 9/11/06

Versus

Respondents : 1. State of Chhattisgarh Through
The Secretary, Higher Education
Dept., DKS Bhawan, Raipur,
District: Raipur- (C.G.)

OATH COMMISSIONER APARNA MALVIYA CG-2073/96 Bilaspur Chhattisgarh 13/10/11

2. The Principal,
Govt. Girls College, Durg,
District-Durg (CG)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

TORY

(63)

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

W.P.No. 3656/06

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

24-7-2006

Mr. Maneesh Sharma, counsel for the petitioner.
Miss Deepali Pandey, Panel Lawyer for the respondents/State, on advance copy.

Heard.

By this petition, the petitioner, who is working as Assistant Professor (Home Science), Government Girls College, Durg, has challenged the transfer order dated 30-6-2006, whereby he has been transferred to Government P.G. College, Balod.

The grievance of the petitioner is that her husband is suffering from cancer and she has been transferred from Durg to Balod and if the petitioner's transfer is not cancelled, she will not be able to look after her husband, who is engaged in a business at Durg. In the circumstance, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner be allowed to make a detailed representation raising all her grievances to respondent No.1 and in turn, respondent No.1 be directed to decide her representation in accordance with law, to which learned Panel Lawyer for the respondents/State has no objection.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation raising all her grievances to respondent No.1 within 10 days from today along with copy of this petition and in turn, respondent No.1 to decide the representation of the petitioner within 30 days from the date of receipt of the representation after considering all the grievances raised by the petitioner in accordance with law.

With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of.

P.T.O.

(19)

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

W.P.No.3656/06

मामला क्रमांक ..... **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश —2—	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	Consequently, M.(W.)P.No.2840/2006 also stands disposed of. **Certified copy as per rules**	Sd/- L.C. Bhadoo Judge

Gopal

28/12/06

28.12.06

P.T.O.